CORPORATE PARENTING BOARD - SEPTEMBER 2017

Title of paper:		Children in Care Service Performance Report: Quarter Three and Quarter Four 2016 - 2017			
Director(s)/ Corporate Directo		Helen Blackman – Director, Children's Integrated Services Wards affected: All			
Report author(s) a	nd Steve Co	Steve Comb – Head of Service, Children in Care			
contact details:	steve.con	nb@nottinghamcity.gov.u	<u>ık</u>		
Other colleagues have provided inp					
Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s)					
(if relevant)					
Relevant Council	Plan Key Theme	\•			
Relevant Council Plan Key Theme: Strategic Regeneration and Development					
Schools					
Planning and Housing					
Community Services					
Energy, Sustainability and Customer					
Jobs, Growth and Transport					
Adults, Health and Community Sector					
Children, Early Intervention and Early Years Leisure and Culture					
Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration					
- Cooda Coo and Programmed Programmed					
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users): In order to ensure continual service development and to provide the best support to our children in care and care leavers, it is essential that the performance of the Children in Care service is monitored and open to scrutiny by Corporate Parenting Board ('Board') members. The purpose of this report is to provide the Board with the most up to date Children in Care performance data, relevant to the areas being discussed at the June 2017 Corporate Parenting Board meeting. The report highlights results covering the third and fourth quarters of the 2016-17 financial year.					
Recommendation(s):					
To acknowledge the current performance position of the Children in Care service, against identified key performance indicators. Where necessary, members are invited to offer suggestion for remedial action in areas of poor performance and developmental suggestions in all other areas.					

1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 As Corporate Parents, ensuring the best possible outcomes for our children is paramount. It is not possible to establish how well we are supporting our children to achieve their full potential, without having a clear understanding of our performance in regards to key performance indicators.

2	BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)
2.1	None.
3	OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS
3.1	None.
4	FINANCE COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)
4.1	None.
5	LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)
5.1	None.
6	STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (FOR DECISION RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY) None.
0.1	
7	EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT
7.1	Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?
	No
	Yes Attached as Appendix x, and due regard will be given to any implications identified in it.
8	LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION
8.1	Appendix 1: CiC Performance Report Q3-Q4 16-17
9	PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

9.1 None.